IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

VAUGHN NEITA,)	
Plaintiff,	,)	Case No: 14 CV 1107
v.)	
)	
CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.)	
Defenda	nts.	Jury Demanded

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXTEND WRITTEN DISCOVERY DEADLINES OF JANUARY 3, 2017 ORDER

NOW COME Defendants Cherie Travis ("Travis"), Officer Jane Raddatz and Officer Melissa Uldrych ("Defendant Officers"), and City of Chicago by and through their attorneys Joseph Carlasare and Marcie Thorp of SmithAmundsen LLC, and for their Motion to Extend Deadlines of January 3, 2017 minute order, states as follows:

- 1. On January 3, 2017, Counsel reported that settlement efforts have been exhausted and it would not be fruitful to have further settlement discussions at this time. The Parties were in agreement that discovery should proceed. See Document #: 96.
- 2. This Court ordered Parties to respond to previously served written discovery by January 24, 2017. See Document #: 96. This Court further ordered, "[a]ny motions to compel written discovery are due by 2/7/2017, and will be argued on 2/9/2017 at 10 a.m. Parties were also ordered to file a joint status report by 2/7/2017. See Document #: 96.
- 3. Both Parties worked diligently to comply with this Court's January 3, 2017 minute order. Nevertheless, both Parties, *by agreement*, answered written discovery on January 30, 2017, which was approximately one week after the Court's deadlines.
- 4. Plaintiff produced more than 5,500 pages of documents. On February 5, 2017, Plaintiff's Counsel and Defense Counsel engaged in a one hour long Rule 37 Conference regarding alleged deficiencies in Defendants' answers to written discovery. No Rule 37 Conference regarding Plaintiff's answers to written discovery has yet been conducted. Plaintiff and Defense Counsel

are working in good faith to resolve certain issues.

5. Defense counsel has been engaged in other matters and was unable to review the over

5,500 pages produced and Plaintiff's answers to written discovery to properly assess whether a

motion to compel additional written discovery is necessary. Given both Parties late disclosures,

Defense Counsel is unable to meet the Court's deadline of February 7, 2017 to file a Motion to

Compel.

6. As a result, Defense Counsel requests an extension of time to bring a motion to compel

answers to written discovery as might be necessary. Moreover, Defense Counsel requests an

extension of the status report deadline given the unresolved written discovery issues.

7. Defense counsel sought Plaintiff's counsel agreement to this discovery extension.

Plaintiff's counsel did not voice an objection.

8. The instant Motion is not brought to harass, delay, or cause undue prejudice or

inconvenience to the Court or any Party.

WHEREFORE Defendants Cherie Travis, Officer Jane Raddatz and Officer Melissa

Uldrych, and City of Chicago request that this Honorable Court grant their Motion to Extend

Deadline to file a motion to compel, and for any such other relief as this Honorable Court deems

just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

SmithAmundsen LLC

By:

s/Joseph P. Carlasare

One of the Attorneys for Defendants

Marcie Thorp (ARDC #6210275)

Joseph P. Carlasare (ARDC # 6308706)

SmithAmundsen LLC

150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 3300

Chicago, IL 60601

Phone: (312) 894-3259

2